Magnesium Silicate Synthesis from Rice Hull Ash

Sir:

Rice hulls are a co-product of the rice industry and are composed of about 20% silica. The hulls are combusted commercially to produce a rice hull ash (RHA) that contains more than 60% silica. This silica has been used as the raw material for the production of various silica-based products, such as silica gel (1), silica xerogel, silicate films, and sodium silicate (2). Rice hull silica could be used to produce magnesium silicate but this has not been reported in the literature or done commercially. Synthetic magnesium silicates, known as Florisil (3), Magnesol XL (4), or Mizukaife F1 (5), are common adsorbents used commercially for chemical analysis and chemical processing, such as regeneration of used frying oils.

A sample of RHA obtained from Turkey (Thrace) that contained 77% silica and had a surface area of 5.9 m²/g (6) was subjected to alkali silica extraction using the method of Kalapathy and Proctor (7). Six hundred milliliters of 1 M sodium hydroxide was added to 100 g of RHA in a 1-L flask, covered with a watch-glass, and boiled for 1 h with constant stirring using a magnetic stirring bar and a hot plate equipped with magnetic stirring capacity. The resulting sodium silicate solution was filtered through Whatman #41 ashless filter paper to separate the carbon residue, which was subsequently washed with 50 mL of boiling water. The filtrate and washing were combined and allowed to cool to room temperature; the silica content was determined as described by Kalapathy and Proctor (7) and found to be 68.2 g/L.

Magnesium silicate production was achieved by mixing 250 mL of the sodium silicate solution with a magnesium sulfate solution prepared by dissolving 18.7 g of $MgSO₄·7H₂O$ in 150 mL of water at room temperature. A white precipitate immediately formed, which was separated by vacuum filtering, washed with distilled water, and then washed with acetone. The precipitate was dried at 110°C for 3 h. A portion of the precipitate was activated in a muffle furnace at 600°C for 7 h. A separate precipitate sample and Florisil (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany; 100–200 mesh) were heated at 1100°C for 2 h to compare heat-induced structural changes.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the activated product and Florisil were obtained by a dual gonimeter X'pert XRD system (Philips Electronic Instruments, The Hague, The Netherlands) using an acceleration voltage of 40 kV and a current of 45 mA. Figure 1 shows the XRD patterns of the precipitate and Florisil, respectively, heated to 600°C. Both panels indicate an amorphous silica structure, as previously reported (8). The XRD patterns of the precipitate and Florisil heated to 1100°C, shown in Figure 2, are almost identical.

FIG. 1. X-ray diffraction patterns of (A) magnesium silicate and of (B) Florisil activated at 600°C.

Features of the XRD patterns confirm that both materials have the structure of cristobalite $SiO₂$ [Joint Commitee for Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) card no. 27-605] and $MgSiO₃$ (JCPDS, card no. 19-768).

The chemical compositions of magnesium silicates were determined by the soda ash fusion method (9) and atomic absorption spectrophotometry (PerkinElmer 110 B) and are shown in Table 1. Precipitated magnesium silicates had almost the same magnesium oxide content as Florisil, but the lower silica content indicated a less pure sample.

The surface areas of Florisil and of activated and nonactivated precipitate were determined by the BET method (model Autosorb 1; Quantachrome Instruments, Boynton Beach, FL). The adsorption capacities of the activated and nonactivated precipitates were compared with that of Florisil by adding 0.5

Paper no. J10752 in *JAOCS 81,* 619–620 (June 2004).

FIG. 2. X-ray diffraction patterns of Florisil (A) and of magnesium silicate (B) heated to 1100°C. (*) Cristobalite SiO₂, (\blacksquare) cristobalite MgSiO₃.

TABLE 1 Chemical Compositions of Magnesium Silicates Produced from Rice Hull Ash and Florisil

g of each sample to 50 mL of 0.02 N oleic acid in hexane and mixing in a shaker bath for 30 min. A control experiment was also conducted using oleic acid solution without adsorbent. The residual oleic acid in solution was determined by alkali titration. Table 2 shows the surface areas and adsorption capacities of Florisil and of the activated and nonactivated precipitates. The activated precipitate had a smaller surface area and adsorption capacity than Florisil but the nonactivated precipitate had a larger surface area and adsorption capacity. FTIR studies showed that magnesium silicate physically adsorbed oleic acid through hydrogen bonding of the carbonyl group to surface silanol groups. Oleic acid also is chemically adsorbed to magnesium silicate through formation of a carboxylate ion, which forms an ionic bond with metal oxide on the surface (10). Thus, the differences in the chemical compositions of the precipitates and Florisil may cause the differences in oleic acid adsorption capacities. On the other hand, both the adsorption capacities and the surface areas of the activated and nonactivated precipitates were very different, meaning that activation at 600°C caused a great change in the magnesium silicate structure, which has not yet been explained.

TABLE 2

Adsorption Capacities and Surface Areas of Magnesium Silicates and Florisil

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Prof. Dr. Erdem Demirkesen, Istanbul Technical University, Metallurgical Engineering Department, for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kamath, S.R., and A. Proctor, Silica Gel from Rice Hull Ash: Preparation and Characterization, *Cereal Chem. 75*:484–487 (1998).
- 2. Kalapathy, U., A. Proctor, and J. Shultz, Production and Properties of Flexible Sodium Silicate Films from Rice Hull Ash Silica, *Bioresource Technol. 72*:99–106 (2000).
- 3. Carroll, K.K., Column Chromatography of Neutral Glycerides and Fatty Acids, in *Lipid Chromatographic Analysis,* 2nd edn., edited by G.V. Marinetti, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1976, Chap. 5, pp. 173–214.
- 4. Yates, R.A., and J.D. Caldwell, Regeneration of Oils Used for Deep Frying: A Comparison of Active Filter Aids, *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 70*:507–511 (1993).
- 5. Patterson, H.B.W., *Bleaching and Purifying Fats and Oils: Theory and Practice,* American Oil Chemists' Society, Champaign, 1992, pp. 100–101.
- 6. Özgül-Yücel, S., and S. Türkay, Purification of FAME by Rice Hull Ash Adsorption, *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 80*:373–376 (2003).
- 7. Kalapathy, U., and A. Proctor, A New Method for Free Fatty Acid Reduction in Frying Oil Using Silicate Films Produced from Rice Hull Ash, *Ibid. 77*:593–598 (2000).
- 8. Proctor, A., X-ray Diffraction and Scanning Electron Microscope Studies of Rice Hull Ash, *Ibid. 67*:576–584 (1990).
- 9. Furman N.W., *Standard Methods of Chemical Analysis*, 6th edn., D. Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1968, Vol. 1 (*The Elements*), p. 953.
- 10. Yates, R.A., J.D. Caldwell, and E.G. Perkins, Diffuse Reflectance Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy of Triacylglycerol and Oleic Acid Adsorption on Synthetic Magnesium Silicate, *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 74*:289–292 (1997).

Sevil Özgül-Yücel*, Mehmet Tolay, Serdar Erdağ, and Selma Türkay Istanbul Technical University, Chemical Engineering Department, 80626, Maslak–Istanbul, Turkey

[Received November 18, 2003; accepted April 17, 2004]

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: yucels@itu.edu.tr